

## Guidelines for Rating Officials

### "5" Rating:

- calls are made consistently throughout the game
- calls are clear, accurate and timely
- controls the tempo and tone of the game
- shows a professional attitude as well as an exceptional knowledge of the rules
- always shows respect and courtesy to all students, coaches and spectators
- among the very best officials, anywhere
- arrived on-time & well-prepared



### "4" Rating:

- infractions are called with some consistency throughout the game
- calls are accurate and timely
- controls the tempo and tone of the game
- knowledge and interpretation of the rules is acceptable
- usually shows respect and courtesy to all students, coaches and spectators
- arrived on-time & well-prepared

### "3" Rating:

- exhibits some consistency in making calls
- calls and judgment are not always clear or accurate
- may have some difficulty with various aspects of assigned duties
- may seem unsure about specific rules interpretations
- usually shows respect and courtesy to all students, coaches and spectators

### "2" Rating

- calls are often inconsistent
- calls are confusing and may need clarification
- does not control the tempo and tone in area of assignment
- less than acceptable knowledge of rules
- may not generally show respect and courtesy to all students, coaches and spectators

### "1" Rating

- inconsistent and erratic calls
- incorrect calls; too much verbalization; controversial
- poor knowledge of rules
- does not control the tempo and tone in area of assignment

- rarely shows respect and courtesy to students, coaches and spectators
- lacks mobility and seems lazy
- may have arrived late and unprepared
- needs further training before being re-hired

### **"0" Rating**

- totally inconsistent in making calls
- incorrect demeanor, attitude, appearance as an official
- poor knowledge of rules
- shows contempt for athletes and / or coaches
- lacks mobility and seems lazy
- may have arrived late and unprepared
- should not be hired by the PSAL